



*Empowered lives.
Resilient nations.*



Support to the Preparation of Country Profile / Pages of the Second Progress Report and Africa and Asia-Pacific Post-Monitoring Workshops within the Framework of the Global Partnership for Effective Development Co-operation

Final Report: 16 December 2015 – 15 December 2016

United Nations Development Programme

Table of Contents

Summary	3
Context	4
Activities and Results	5
<i>Activity 1: Support to preparation of country profile / pages of the second progress report</i>	5
Output 1: Increased country-specific evidence on progress and challenges with regard to implementation of effective development co-operation principles and commitments.....	5
<i>Activity 2: Facilitation / support for regional dialogue and consultation on country level implementation of the Effective Development Co-operation Principles and Commitments</i>	6
Output 2: Increased use of results of the second monitoring round as well as awareness and knowledge of the country implementation efforts to inform policy and multi-stakeholder dialogue on effective development co-operation in Africa and Asia-Pacific regions, resulting in strengthened linkages of country-evidence / actions to regional and global policy actions and dialogue at the HLM2	8
Results Framework.....	9
Implementation of the Visibility and Communications Plan	11
General use of EU logo and mention of contribution	11
Specific visibility actions for activities funded by the EU contribution.....	11
Controls	12
Lessons Learned and Way Forward	12
Annexes	14
Annex 1. Final Financial Report	14
Annex 2. Expected sources of funding and estimated costs	17

Summary

Project Title:	Support to the preparation of country profile / pages of the second progress report and Africa and Asia-Pacific post-monitoring workshops within the framework of the Global Partnership for Effective Development Co-operation (GPEDC)
Location(s):	Africa, Asia-Pacific
EuropeAid ID	US-2008-FIB-2702949105
Contract Number:	DEVPOL/2015/370-389
Implementing Partner:	United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
Implementation Period:	16 December 2015 – 15 December 2016
Duration of the Implementation Period:	12 months
Total amount EU of contribution:	EUR 200,000
Name and title of the contact person:	Yuko Suzuki Naab Global Policy Adviser – Effective Development Co-operation, Development Impact Group, Bureau for Policy and Programme Support, United Nations Development Programme. Tel: +1 (212) 906 6509 yuko.suzuki@undp.org 304 East 45th Street, FF-1656 New York, NY 10017, USA
Name and title of the project manager	Same as above
Target group(s) ¹	Country-level practitioners of development effectiveness in Africa, Asia and Pacific regions (national coordinators of the Global Partnership monitoring, representatives of government, CSOs, development partners, and relevant UNDP country offices) as well as broader Global Partnership stakeholders (with regard to the availability of country profile / country pages)
Final beneficiaries ²	Country-level practitioners of development effectiveness in Africa / Asia-Pacific and Global Partnership stakeholders at large
Description:	The EU contribution supported country-level implementation and facilitated concrete actions to enhance the effectiveness of development co-operation in Africa and Asia Pacific; facilitated multi-stakeholder dialogue of broader Global Partnership stakeholders at the country, regional and global levels by encouraging knowledge sharing / peer learning based on evidence and results of the monitoring; and facilitated country-, regional- and global-level dialogue on effective development co-operation, particularly in the lead-up to the Second High-Level Meeting of the Global Partnership (HLM2).

¹ “Target groups” are the groups / entities who will directly benefit from the action at the action purpose level.

² “Final beneficiaries” are those who will benefit from the action in the long term at the level of the society or sector at large.

Context

The Global Partnership for Effective Development Co-operation was created in 2011 at the Fourth High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness in Busan, Korea. The Busan Partnership Agreement, which established the Global Partnership, was endorsed by 161 countries and 56 international organisations, and representatives of civil society, private sector, parliamentarians and local and regional entities.

Global Partnership stakeholders work in synergy to strengthen the effectiveness of development co-operation by putting into practice four principles: (i) ownership of development priorities by developing countries; (ii) focus on results; (iii) inclusive partnerships; and (iv) transparency and mutual accountability. Through its multi-stakeholder platform, the Global Partnership provides practical support and guidance to enhance the effectiveness of all forms of co-operation for development for the shared benefit of people, planet, prosperity and peace.

In the post-2015 development landscape, the Global Partnership remains country-focused and promotes mutual accountability, provides data and evidence on progress in meeting commitments on more effective development co-operation, and informs inclusive dialogue on effective development co-operation at all levels to unleash the potential of all development actors to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by 2030.

The Global Partnership monitoring framework and its processes as one of the core strengths of the Global Partnership are crucial for implementing the effective development co-operation principles. The efforts to strengthen the monitoring framework and its processes include making the results and outcomes of the monitoring more useful to support country-level multi-stakeholder dialogue and actions through introduction of country profile / pages complementary to the [2016 Progress Report: Making Development Co-operation More Effective](#) as well as enhancing the use of results to support regional and global policy dialogue on effective development co-operation, including the Second High-Level Meeting of the Global Partnership (HLM2).

As part of the UNDP-OECD Joint Support Team (JST), UNDP supports the objectives of the Global Partnership by producing analytical work drawing on country-level evidence and experience; refining the monitoring framework to ensure it remains relevant at country level; supporting participating countries and territories for a strong second round of monitoring; engaging multi-stakeholders to facilitate knowledge sharing and mutual learning; and strengthening the linkages with global processes to ensure the monitoring framework is relevant to the post-2015 and Financing for Development context. It is also through its Global Project on Managing Development Co-operation Effectively, UNDP supports program countries in building capacity to maximise development results, engaging in peer learning and generating shared benefit for development through inclusive partnerships.

The activities funded by the European Commission supports UNDP's work to strengthen the country-focused approach of the Global Partnership. The total action targets country-level practitioners of development effectiveness in Africa, Asia and Pacific regions, as well as Global Partnership stakeholders at large. With support from the EU, the project aimed to enhance knowledge sharing and mutual learning of country-level development results and experience and facilitate multi-stakeholder dialogue on development co-operation at the country, regional and global levels.

Activities and Results

The total action planned and implemented with the EU contribution supported country-led actions to enhance the effectiveness of development co-operation. Specifically, the EU contribution provided substantive support to the use of country-specific evidence generated from the Global Partnership's second monitoring round, as well as increasing awareness and knowledge of country implementation efforts to inform policy dialogue on effective development co-operation in Africa and Asia-Pacific regions. The total action demonstrated the value of multi-stakeholder actions and applied the shared principles of effective development co-operation at the country and regional levels.

The following two main areas of activities respond to the activities envisaged to support achievements of the output 1 and 2 of the 2015-2016 work programme of the OECD-UNDP Joint Support Team for effective functioning of the Global Partnership and resulted in strengthened linkages of country-evidence to regional and global policy actions and dialogue at the HLM2.

Activity 1: Support to preparation of country profile / pages of the second progress report

The second round of Global Partnership monitoring drew record participation and geographical diversity from 81 low and middle-income countries, 125 development partners, and hundreds of civil society organisations, private sector representatives, trade unions, foundations, parliamentarians and local governments with data covering up to 89% of participating countries' programmed development co-operation finance. The EU contribution supported the production of 14 four-page country profiles,³ summarising monitoring results and development challenges in Least-Developed Countries.⁴

Output 1: Increased country-specific evidence on progress and challenges with regard to implementation of effective development co-operation principles and commitments

The country and territory monitoring profiles are now available on the Global Partnership's public website (www.effectivecooperation.org). The monitoring profiles highlight specific country or territory context and the monitoring results of indicators attached to effectiveness principles, complementary to the [2016 Progress Report: Making Development Co-operation More Effective](#).

Based on data collected from governments and development partners, public and private, each profile provides a snapshot of a country or territory's progress in achieving more effective development co-operation. It highlights a specific country or territory context and the monitoring results of indicators attached to effectiveness principles, alongside analysis, trends and policy recommendations.

At the country level, the monitoring profiles inform policy decisions and national reviews of development progress, facilitate policy and institutional reforms as well as development of transparency and mutual accountability mechanisms. The profiles also contributed to regional and technical dialogues for knowledge sharing and mutual learning to improve the ways of

³ EU funding is used for the production of 14 profiles while the rest of the profiles were funded by other donors' contributions.

⁴ The Least Developed Countries are defined per UN DESA 2015 classification, as agreed in Annex I of the Agreement.

working on-the-ground. For example, the government of Ethiopia and development partners jointly reviewed findings from the second monitoring round, with the view to develop an action plan for improving the quality and effectiveness of partnerships. The monitoring profiles, with demand-driven country support, also contribute to countries' ongoing efforts in implementing the Development Finance Assessment (DFA), responding to the call for the Integrated National Financing Framework (INFF) by the Addis Ababa Action Agenda (AAAA).

Country-level data and evidence presented through the monitoring profiles, as part of the monitoring round, are a unique source for the follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda and the AAAA as well as providing evidence to SDG targets on country-level policy space and leadership (SDG 17.15), multi-stakeholder partnerships for development (SDG 17.16), and gender equality and women's empowerment (SDG 5c).

Activity 2: Facilitation / support for regional dialogue and consultation on country level implementation of the Effective Development Co-operation Principles and Commitments

The EU contribution provided support for facilitation of travel for 55 participants⁵ to attend the African regional post-monitoring workshop, complementary to a contribution provided by the Republic of Korea in support of this regional consultation. Likewise, in the Asia-Pacific region, the EU contribution supported the facilitation of travel for 40 participants to attend the regional post-monitoring workshop. The workshops reviewed key results and findings from the second monitoring round, collected feedback from a broad range of country and regional stakeholders on lessons learned and supported countries in the Africa and the Asia-Pacific regions in shaping key priorities and recommendations in the lead-up to the HLM2.

A. Regional post-monitoring workshop in Africa

The African regional post-monitoring workshop and consultation was held on 14-15 September 2016 in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, hosted by the New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD) agency and the African Union Commission (AUC), together with UNDP Regional Service Centre for Africa and with support from the JST. As a member of the Global Partnership Steering Committee, the NEPAD leads the coordination of the African position on effective development co-operation. The workshop brought together approximately 94 participants from governments, civil society, the private sector and development partners, including South-South partners, to review initial results of the monitoring round. Participants at the workshop undertook the following:

- Reviewed country and regional progress and challenges in implementing commitments on development effectiveness principles;
- Discussed broad parameters for a refined monitoring framework; and
- Formulated Africa's common position and consensus on development effectiveness priorities which feeds into the HLM2.

Participants underlined the importance and utility of the monitoring round, as it represents an opportunity for countries to assess progress on how development co-operation is conducted at the country level and to engage with development partners on this issue. It was also highlighted that the monitoring process should be sustained, continuous and firmly

⁵ EU contribution facilitated travel of 55 participants instead of 45 participants initially targeted, due to cost savings from reduced cost per participant. Please see Annex I for more detail.

institutionalised at not only national level but also sub-national, regional and global levels. Moreover, participants emphasised the results of the monitoring round should be used to spur dialogue and commitments, so that concrete actions are undertaken to achieve the African Union's Agenda 2063 and Agenda 2030.

The agenda and outcome report on the workshop is available on the Global Partnership's public website – [here](#) and [here](#). The workshop also facilitated the preparation of Africa's Common Position and Consensus for HLM2, which is publicly available on the Global Partnership's website – [here](#).

B. Regional post-monitoring workshop in Asia-Pacific regions

The Asia-Pacific regional post-monitoring workshop was held on 26-27 October 2016 in Bangkok, Thailand. Organized by Asia-Pacific Development Effectiveness Facility (AP-DEF), the regional platform hosted by UNDP Bangkok Regional Hub, the meeting responds to an increasing demand from countries in the region to link finance flows with national development results. This workshop was held immediately after the 'Regional Knowledge Exchange on Implementing the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development' in order to maximise relevant participants' time and provide space for linkages with the 2030 Agenda implementation plans in relation to financing of the SDGs.

Drawing on existing work in the region, the EU contribution supported efforts to amplify the value of country-led, context-driven approach to address challenges of managing diverse types of co-operation and strengthen partnerships with a wide array of development partners. In particular, it helped to scale up the work of the Development Finance Assessment (DFA) and initial scoping work on strengthening / establishing Integrated National Financing Frameworks (INFFs).

The regional consultation provided an opportunity for representatives from governments, civil society, private sector and the Global Partnership co-chairs and HLM2 host to discuss the following issues:

- Financing for development in the context of Agenda 2030, with a view to strengthen the links between finance and development results;
- Progress in establishing INFFs, as called for by the AAAA and exploring what it means at country level to develop INFFs;
- Findings from the second monitoring round of the Global Partnership: discussions on the implications of the monitoring results for national and global policy processes, and the way forward for utilising the Global Partnership monitoring in the context of the SDGs; and
- Lessons from the Asia-Pacific region to inform the future objectives and contributions of AP-DEF, and highlight recommendations and messages from the region which feed into HLM2.

The agenda of the workshop is available on the Global Partnership's public website – [here](#). The key messages emerged from the regional consultation is also available on the Global Partnership website – [here](#). A UNDP news article on the event is available [here](#).

Output 2: Increased use of results of the second monitoring round as well as awareness and knowledge of the country implementation efforts to inform policy and multi-stakeholder dialogue on effective development co-operation in Africa and Asia-Pacific regions, resulting in strengthened linkages of country-evidence / actions to regional and global policy actions and dialogue at the HLM2

Participants at the regional workshops gained a solid understanding of the country-level evidence and findings from the second monitoring round, as well as an indication of regional trends. For both regional post-monitoring workshops organised under this action (in Africa and Asia-Pacific regions), the following areas of impact were achieved:

- Review and technical discussion of results and challenges identified during the monitoring round resulted in identification of key enablers of success;
- Stocktaking of lessons learned during the monitoring process informed ways of better integrating Global Partnership monitoring into existing countries systems and frameworks, reducing the burden on developing country governments and increasing efficiency;
- Facilitation of mutual learning and knowledge exchange around best practices and lessons learned on institutionalising multi-stakeholder dialogue on effective development co-operation informed further implementation efforts at the country level;
- Discussion of key priorities led by countries and regional organisations supported the substantive preparation for and dialogue at HLM2;
- Exchange of views on substantive topics on the quality of effectiveness, within the context of Agenda 2030, is informing discussions at the regional and international levels; and
- Broad participation of stakeholders at the workshops allowed for strengthened face-to-face dialogue and peer learning around implementation of development effectiveness principles, among development practitioners.

Results Framework

The below matrix outlines actual results achieved as measured by their corresponding indicators, agreed baselines and targets, and relevant data sources.

Results / Outputs	Performance indicator	Baseline	Target	Whether target has been met (Yes/No)?	Data source	Activities	Reasons if output below / above target (budget, schedule, etc.)
Output 1: Increased country-specific evidence on progress and challenges with regard to implementation of effective development co-operation principles and commitments	14 LDC country profiles / pages produced and published complementary to the 2016 progress report of the Global Partnership Monitoring	0	14	14	Global Partnership external website (www.effectiveco-operation.com)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Desk review and analysis of country data and qualitative information submitted as part of the Global Partnership's second monitoring round; Research other complementary analysis that can inform the country profile; Draft 4-page country profiles for 14 LDCs countries. 	N/A
Output 2A: Increased use of results of the second monitoring round as well as awareness and knowledge of the country implementation efforts to inform policy and multi-stakeholder dialogue on effective development co-operation in <u>Africa region</u>, resulting in strengthened linkages of country-evidence / actions to regional and global policy actions and dialogue at the HLM2	45 participants from developing countries in Africa	0	45	55	Summary report of the workshop	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Logistics support, including venue; Translation and interpretation services; Communications and visibility actions to ensure the workshop is featured as an important event of the Global Partnership, including publication of key messages and summary through the Global Partnership newsletter, external website (www.effectiveco-operation.org), and banners at the event. 	Output is above target, because cost savings incurred by lower travel costs per participant allowed more participants to be funded with the same amount of contribution.

<p>Output 2B: Increased use of results of the second monitoring round as well as awareness and knowledge of the country implementation efforts to inform policy and multi-stakeholder dialogue on effective development co-operation in <u>Asia-Pacific regions</u>, resulting in strengthened linkages of country-evidence / actions to regional and global policy actions and dialogue at the HLM2</p>	<p>40 participants from developing countries in Asia-Pacific</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>40</p>	<p>40</p>	<p>Final report of the workshop</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Logistics support, including venue; • Translation and interpretation services; • Communications and visibility actions to ensure the workshop is featured as an important event of the Global Partnership, including publication of key messages and summary through the Global Partnership newsletter, external website (www.effectiveco-operation.org), and banners at the event. 	<p>N/A</p>
---	--	----------	-----------	-----------	-------------------------------------	--	------------

Implementation of the Visibility and Communications Plan

1. General use of EU logo and mention of contribution

The European Commission logo is prominently displayed on the 'Partners' page of the Global Partnership website (effectivecooperation.org) which is publicly accessible. The former internal knowledge-sharing platform *teamworks* has been archived with all past contents transferred to the 'Technical Documents' page of the Global Partnership website (accessible [here](#)).

UNDP-OECD Joint Support Team Contributing Partners



Screenshot from 'Partners' page on the Global Partnership's external website

2. Specific visibility actions for activities funded by the EU contribution

The EU logo was used for the following main areas of actions planned with the EU contribution to support the strengthening of the monitoring process through preparation of country profile / country pages and organisation of regional workshops accelerating country efforts towards more effective development co-operation:

a. **Support to preparation of country profile / pages of the second progress report**

The EU contribution which supported the production of the 14 country pages / profile is acknowledged on the 'Country and Territory Monitoring Profiles' page of the Global Partnership website – [here](#).

b. **Facilitation / support for regional dialogue and consultation on country level implementation of the Effective Development Co-operation Principles and Commitments**

- i. The EU logo was displayed via banner (as a funder of the workshop) at both the African and Asia-Pacific Regional Post-Monitoring Workshops.
- ii. The EU logo is prominently displayed on the agenda and summary report / outcome document for the African Regional Post-Monitoring Workshop, which are both available on the public Global Partnership website – accessible [here](#) and [here](#).
- iii. The EU logo is prominently displayed on the agenda and summary report / outcome document for the Asia-Pacific Regional Post-Monitoring Workshop, which are both available on the public Global Partnership website – accessible [here](#) and [here](#).

Controls

While implementing the total action, UNDP in line with its financial rules and regulations established adequate internal controls in order to effectively implement the activities while aiming to prevent, detect and correct irregularities. It also ensures the reliability of reporting for this total action, which are produced in coordination with the project manager who has a direct oversight and management role in the project.

Lessons Learned and Way Forward

The Global Partnership aims to improve effectiveness of all types of development co-operation. The behaviour, policy and institutional changes that the Global Partnership aims to achieve in this context require stronger leadership and sustained political momentum. This draws attention to the need for demonstrated evidence and impact of effective development co-operation, coupled with country-driven efforts to implement the effective development co-operation agenda based on specific country context and needs.

The Global Partnership and countries involved in the monitoring process have significantly benefited from the EU-funded action, which contributed to building the basis for continued efforts in generating reliable and timely country-level data that respond to the demands of country-level efforts in implementing the effective development co-operation principles. The Global Partnership's monitoring process contributes to this, providing a unique source of data, evidence and analysis to inform national development work, inform country and regional multi-stakeholder dialogues and contribute to SDG follow-up and review. The profiles developed with the support of the EU are powerful tools for mutual learning among country-level practitioners of development effectiveness in Africa, Asia and Pacific and other regions.

The country and territory monitoring profiles, along with the results of the second monitoring round, and the outcomes of the regional post-monitoring workshops, provided evidence on country-led implementation of the development effectiveness principles for discussion at the Second High-Level Meeting of the Global Partnership (HLM2) in Nairobi, Kenya on 29 November – 1 December 2016. The HLM2 stressed the value addition of the Global Partnership as a multi-stakeholder platform facilitating innovative development solutions and sharing of evidence, knowledge and experience relevant to country-led approach to more effective development co-operation. At the HLM2, the Global Partnership adopted a renewed mandate to build on the lessons learned and adjust to the evolving development co-operation landscape. In delivering its mandate, the Global Partnership will perform five core functions:

1. Supporting effectiveness at the country level;
2. Generating evidence for accountability and SDG follow-up;
3. Sharing knowledge and lessons;
4. Facilitating specialised dialogue; and
5. Building political momentum for effective development co-operation.

In the context where many countries are experiencing a shift of development finance flows, it is imperative that the impact of development co-operation is maximised through collective actions by a wide range of development stakeholders, including civil society and the private sector, and grounded on country-led efforts to manage development co-operation effectively. The work of the Global Partnership thus needs to focus on enhancing country-level support mainstreaming

effectiveness principles into development co-operation and managing development co-operation flows, as well as unlocking the potential for overcoming challenges of the 2030 Agenda.

As part of the Joint Support Team, UNDP continues to have a strong relationship with the European Commission, whose continuing support is integral to the effective functioning of the Effective Development Co-operation team (UNDP/BPPS/DIG/NY) and also the service it provides to strengthen the effectiveness of development co-operation under the auspices of the Global Partnership.

Annexes

Annex 1. Final Financial Report

Please see below for a financial report on EU contribution support to the preparation of country profile / pages of the second progress report and Africa and Asia-Pacific post-monitoring workshops, within the framework of the Global Partnership for Effective Development Co-operation.

Budget for EU contribution to the GPEDC UNDP-OECD Joint-Support Team	All Years				Expenditures Incurred in USD				Comments
	Unit ¹³	# of units	Unit value (in EUR)	Total Cost (in EUR) ³	Unit ¹³	# of units	Unit Value (in USD)	Total Cost (in USD)	
Result 1.1 - Preparation of Country Profile/Country Pages as part of the second progress report of the GPEDC monitoring									
1. Human Resources									
Technical and analytical capacity - 60 working days for 2 technical consultant fee	Daily	60	450	27,000	Daily	60	500	30,000	
Subtotal Human Resources - Result 1.1				27,000				30,000	
SUB-TOTAL - Result 1.1. Country profile/Country Pages				27,000				30,000	
Result 1.2 - Africa regional post-monitoring workshop									
1. Human Resources									
Regional/Sub-regional workshop (Africa - Ethiopia) - DSA for 45 participants (3 days +travel day)- Nairobi DSA rates of E278 /day ²	DSA ¹	135	278	37,530	DSA ¹	165	212	34,980	DSA for Addis Ababa in September 2016 was USD 212 (193 EUR). Due to cost savings from reduced DSA, EU contribution facilitated travel of 55 participants instead of 45 participants initially targeted.
Subtotal Human Resources - Result 1.2				37,530				34,980	
2. Travel⁶									
2.1. International travel									
Regional/sub regional workshop (Africa - Ethiopia) - Travel for 45 participants	Per flight	45	1,000	45,000	Per flight	55	919	50,545	Due to cost savings from reduced DSA, EU contribution facilitated travel of 55 participants instead of

									45 participants initially targeted.
2.2 Local transportation									
Local transportation provided for participants in country			606	606			650	650	
Subtotal Travel - Result 1.2				45,606				51,195	
3. Equipment and supplies⁷									
Banners for the workshop				1,000			1,174	1,174	
Subtotal Equipment and supplies - Result 1.2				1,000				1,174	
4. Local office									
Regional/Sub-regional Workshop (Africa - Ethiopia) Facility/Venue Rental	Per participant	90	66	5,940	Per participant	110	70	7,700	Due to cost savings from reduced DSA, EU contribution facilitated travel of 55 participants instead of 45 participants initially targeted.
Subtotal Local office				5,940				7,700	
5. Other costs, services⁸									
5.5 Translation, interpreters - for Regional/Sub-regional Workshop (Africa -Ethiopia): 3 interpreters at 3 days	Per day	9	600	5,400	Per day	9	700	6,300	
5.8 Visibility Action - publication of key messages and summary			1,000	1,000			1,739	1,739	
Subtotal Other costs, services				6,400				8,039	
SUB-TOTAL - Result 1.2 - Africa regional post-monitoring workshop				96,476				103,088	
Result 1.3 - Regional post-monitoring workshop in Asia-Pacific regions									
1. Human Resources									
Asia-Pacific workshop - Asia - Thailand 2016) - DSA for 40 participants (3 days + travel day) - Bangkok DSA rates of EURO 176/day	DSA ¹	120	187	22,440	DSA ¹	120	223	26,760	DSA for Bangkok in October 2016 was 223 USD (203 EUR).
Subtotal Human Resources - Result 1.3				22,440				26,760	
2. Travel⁶									
2.1. International travel									
Asia-Pacific workshop (Asia -Thailand 2016) - Travel for 40 participants	Per flight	40	1,000	40,000	Per flight	40	1,110	44,400	
Subtotal Travel - Result 1.3				40,000				44,400	
5. Other costs, services⁸									
5.8 Visibility Action - publication of key messages and summary			1,000	1,000			1,154	1,154	
Subtotal Other costs, services				1,000				1,154	

SUB-TOTAL - Result 1.3. Asia-Pacific post-monitoring workshop				63,440				72,314	
7. Subtotal direct eligible costs of the Action (1-6)				186,916				205,402	
8. Indirect costs (maximum 7% of 7, subtotal of direct eligible costs of the Action)				13,084				14,378	
9. Total eligible costs of the Action (7+ 8)									
10. Provision for contingency reserve (maximum 5% of 7, subtotal of direct eligible costs of the Action)									
11. Total eligible costs (9+10)				200,000				219,780	
12. - Taxes ¹¹ - Contributions in kind ¹²				0				0	
13. Total accepted¹¹ costs of the Action (11+12)				200,000				219,780	

	EUR	Exchange rate*	USD
Total EU contribution:	€ 200,000	-	-
Pre-financing payment:	€ 160,000	0.91	USD 175,824.18
Total expenditures:	-	0.91	USD 219,780.14
Final payment:	-	0.91	USD 43,955.96

*Exchange rate, as per United Nations Operational Rates of Exchange (UNORE) of 15/12/2015, date at which the pre-financing payment was recorded in UNDP accounts

Of the USD 219,780 presented above, USD 219,780 corresponds to eligible expenses and there are no legal commitments currently in force between UNDP and a third party.

Annex 2. Expected sources of funding and estimated costs

		Amount EUR	Percentage %
Expected sources of funding			
EU/EDF contribution sought in this application	(A)	200,000	
Other contributions (Applicant, other Donors etc)			
<i>Name</i>	<i>Conditions</i>		
		5,150,715	
Revenue from the Action		0	
To be inserted if applicable and allowed by the guidelines:			
In-kind contributions		0	
Expected TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS (required funding)		5,350,715	
Estimated Costs			
Estimated TOTAL COSTS (B)		5,350,715	
EU/EDF contribution expressed as a percentage of total costs	(A/B x 100)		4%
To be inserted if applicable and allowed by the guidelines:			
Taxes/In-kind contributions		0	
Estimated TOTAL ACCEPTED COSTS (C)		5,024,333	
EU/EDF contribution expressed as a percentage of total accepted costs	(A/C x 100)		4%